

Production Water Cut = Yw

Ratio of water production rate at surface q_W to liquid production rate at surface $q_L = q_O + q_W$:

$$(1) \quad Y_W = \frac{q_W^\uparrow}{q_L^\uparrow}$$

It relates to Water-Oil Ratio (WOR) as:

$$(2) \quad Y_W = \frac{1}{1 + q_O^\uparrow/q_W^\uparrow} = \frac{\text{WOR}}{1 + \text{WOR}}$$

The simplest way to model the [production watercut](#) Y_W in a given well is the [Watercut Fractional Flow @model](#):

$$(3) \quad Y_{Wm} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{M_{ro}}{M_{rw}} \cdot \frac{B_w}{B_o}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{k_{ro}}{k_{rw}} \cdot \frac{\mu_w}{\mu_o} \cdot \frac{B_w}{B_o}}$$

which provides a good estimate when the [drawdown](#) is much higher than delta pressure from gravity and capillary effects.

The model (3) can also be used in gross field [production analysis](#) and in this case the average reservoir saturation can be assumed homogeneous:

$$(4) \quad s_w(t) = s_{wi} + (1 - s_{wi}) \cdot \text{RF}(t)/E_S$$

This is a very simplistic [proxy-model](#) of [reservoir saturation](#) under an idealistic [waterflood](#) conditions and may mislead in specific cases.

The above model is very idealistic and has very limited applications.

In most practical cases it can only match the [production watercut](#) at late stage of the field lifecycle when it develops a fair waterflood sweep pattern and does not have thief production.

The most popular short-term [production watercut](#) models are given by the brute-force correlation with the flowrates [Watercut Correlation @model](#).

See Also

[Petroleum Industry / Upstream / Subsurface E&P Disciplines / Well Testing \(WT\) / Flowrate Testing / Flowrate](#)

[\[WOR \] \[Watercut Diagnostics \] \[Watercut Fractional Flow @model \] \[Watercut Correlation @model \]](#)

[\[Surface flowrates \] \[Oil surface flowrate \] \[Gas surface flowrate \] \[Water surface flowrate \] \[Production Gas-Oil Ratio \(GOR\) \]](#)

[\[Waterflood Recovery \(WF\) \]](#)

