

Peaceman @model

Effective drainage radius for numerical well-reservoir contact model:

$$(1) \quad r_e = 0.28 \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{k_{\perp 2}}{k_{\perp 1}}\right)^{1/2} D_{\perp 1}^2 + \left(\frac{k_{\perp 1}}{k_{\perp 2}}\right)^{1/2} D_{\perp 2}^2}}{\left(\frac{k_{\perp 2}}{k_{\perp 1}}\right)^{1/4} + \left(\frac{k_{\perp 1}}{k_{\perp 2}}\right)^{1/4}}$$

where $\mathbf{D} = \{D_{\perp 1}, D_{\perp 2}\}$ – dimensions of the grid cell around well in transversal plane to the well axis.

Strictly speaking, the above formula is only valid in case well penetrates through the whole length of grid cell $\mathbf{D}$ perpendicular to the cell faces.

There are many modifications and generalization of the [Peaceman](#) approximation but in the most practical cases it works very well when sufficiently fine [LGR](#) is applied.

In particular case of isotropic permeability $k_{\perp 1} = k_{\perp 2}$ the [Peaceman](#) effective radius is given by:

$$(2) \quad r_e = 0.28 \sqrt{D_{\perp 1}^2 + D_{\perp 2}^2}$$

and in case of a square grid cell $D_{\perp 1} = D_{\perp 2} = D_{\perp}$:

$$(3) \quad r_e = 0.4 D_{\perp}$$

There is a natural limit to when [LGR](#) is capable to support [Peaceman](#) approximation.

This can be illustrated on the case of isotropic permeability and square grid.

Formula (3) assumes that external boundary of drainage area is greater than well radius which introduces a natural limitation to applicability of [Peaceman](#) approximation for pressure calculations in near-well reservoir zone with $D_{\perp} < 2.5 r_w$.

This is, for example, the case in [SPT](#) where time lag between pressure response to the flow variation is so small (seconds) that it dictates very small grid size around wellbore and [Peaceman](#) approximation can not be properly applied.