

Absolute permeability @model

There is a statistical correlation between [absolute permeability](#) k_a and [effective porosity](#) $\phi = \phi_e$ which can be approximated by various empirical models based on [PORO-PERM correlations](#).

The most generic approach to [permeability](#) modelling is based on the concepts of [Flow Zone Indicator](#) FZI :

(1)
$$k = 1014.24 \cdot FZI^2 \cdot \frac{\phi^3}{(1 - \phi)^2}$$

In case $FZI = \text{const}$ for each [lithofacies](#) the model (1) represents conventional [Cozeny-Karman permeability @model](#)

In a more general case, the [Flow Zone Indicator](#) keeps dependance on variation of [shaliness](#) and [effective porosity](#) with in a given [lithofacies](#):

(2)
$$FZI = FZI(V_{sh}, \phi)$$

but not as strong as [permeability](#) and with a better separation between [lithofacies](#) which makes it easier to pick up the correlation.

Below is the list of popular [permeability-porosity](#) models also called [PORO-PERM correlations](#):

Exponential permeability @model
Power law permeability @model
Dual-component power law permeability @model
Cozeny-Karman permeability @model
Dual-component Cozeny-Karman permeability @model
ANN permeability @model

See also

[Petroleum Industry / Upstream / Subsurface E&P Disciplines / Petrophysics / Absolute permeability](#)

References

