

Formation pressure survey

There is a big range of the methods to assess [Formation pressure \(Pe\)](#) in a given well.

The simplest one is static gradient survey.

Currently the industry reference is the BUS/FOS.

The alternative way is to use RTA or DCV of the long-term BHP.

The multi-well version of RTA (the one which accounts the influence from the off-set wells) is CRM and MDCV.

The RTA/DCV and CRM/MDCV are considered as indirect methods.

They build correlation between BHP and flowrate and then predict formation pressure by simulating the shut-in the well and analysing the BUS/FOS in computer space.

Since the reservoir properties around the tested well and in between the wells may change over time it is important to validate the current BHP-flowrate model before accepting the [Formation pressure \(Pe\)](#) prediction.

The validation is performed as comparison between BHP prediction of the current BHP-flowrate model against the measured BHP.

In case the discrepancy is within the accepted range (which is set by the petroleum asset team depending on the FDP strategy on [Formation pressure](#) measurements accuracy) then the predicted value of [Formation pressure](#) is accepted.

Otherwise it is highly recommended to shutdown the well and use BUS/FOS along with the historical BHP-flowrate data in order to update the BHP-flowrate model and ensure it continue predicting the accurate values of [Formation pressure](#).

See Also

[Petroleum Industry](#) / [Upstream](#) / [Subsurface E&P Disciplines](#) / [Petroleum Geology](#) / [Reservoir pressure](#) / [Formation pressure \(Pe\)](#)

[Subsurface E&P Disciplines](#) / [Production Technology](#)

[[Reservoir pressure](#)] [[Initial formation pressure, \$P_i\$](#)] [[Drilled formation pressure, \$P_d\$](#)] [[Startup formation pressure, \$P_0\$](#)] [[Multiphase formation pressure](#)]

[[Bottomhole pressure \(\$p_{wf}\$ \)](#)]